



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/659,398	09/11/2000	David W. Jensen	00CR082/KE	5534
7:	590 08/06/2004		EXAM	INER
Rockwell Collins Inc			BONURA, TIMOTHY M	
Attention: Kyle Eppele M/S 124 323 400 Collins Road N E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cedar Rapids,			2114	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/659,398	JENSEN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tim Bonura	2114				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Fherefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void=abandonment-of-this=applic I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
, ,	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP I36(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		,				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):	1				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5,6 and 10-20</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 7-9.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Exami <b>g</b> er.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Vary				
BEST AVAILAB	LE COPY	NADEEM IQBAL PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Finally rejected claims have not been canceled. Further consideration would be required based on arugments provided.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments would constitute further consideration of finally rejected claims.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY